The Minutes

April 12, 2004

C043234 THE PEOPLE v. NIKKEL

(Not For Publication)

BY THE COURT:

Appellant's petition for rehearing is denied. BLEASE, Acting P.J.

C040445 BROOKS v. STEARNS

BY THE COURT:

Appellant's motion to reinstate the appeal is granted. The order of March 26, 2004, dismissing the appeal is vacated, and the appeal is reinstated. SCOTLAND, P.J.

C042007 FONG et al. v. WESTLY, as Controller, etc.

(Certified for Publication)

THE COURT:

For good cause it now appears that the opinion in the above-captioned case filed herein on March 11, 2004, should be published in the Official Reports and it is so ordered. (CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION.)

THE COURT:

SCOTLAND, P.J. NICHOLSON, J. MORRISON, J.

April 13, 2004

C034461 THE PEOPLE v. DANIEL

(Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.

SIMS, Acting P.J.

We concur: Davis, J.

Robie, J.

C036854 THE PEOPLE v. MEEKS

(Certified for Partial Publication)

The judgment is affirmed. (Certified for Partial Publication.).

HULL, J.

I concur: Morrison, J.

I concur in parts I, II, and III of the majority opinion.

I respectfully dissent from the majoritie's conclusion, in part IV...

... I would affirm defendant's convictions and remand to the trial court for resentencing.

Sims, Acting P.J.

The Minutes

April 13, 2004, continued

C042550 THE PEOPLE v. EVANS, JR. (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.

BLEASE, Acting P.J.

We concur: Nicholson, J.

Robie, J.

C042607 THE PEOPLE v. POST (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.

NICHOLSON, J.

We concur: Blease, Acting P.J.

Robie, J.

C042627 THE PEOPLE v. WOODWARD (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.

SIMS, Acting P.J.

We concur: Davis, J.

Hull, J.

C043772 THE PEOPLE v. WHITE (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.

NICHOLSON, Acting P.J.

We concur: Raye, J.

Hull, J.

C041575 SCHACHERBAUER et al. v. SHERWOOD

(Not for Publication)

The appeal is dismissed.

SCOTLAND, P.J.

We concur: Nicholson, J.

Raye, J.

C042441 SAMANTHA H., a Minor, etc., et al. v. FIELDS et al.

(Not for Publication)

The judgment is reversed. Plaintiff shall recover costs on appeal.

BLEASE, Acting P.J.

We concur: Davis, J.

Robie, J.

The Minutes

April 13, 2004, continued

In re LORENZO S.; SACRAMENTO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES v. RON S. (Not for Publication)

The Sacramento County juvenile court's dispositional order is vacated, and the matter is remanded to the juvenile court with directions to order the Sacrament DHHS to make proper inquiry and to comply with the notice provisions of the ICWA by sending new notices. If after proper inquiry and notice, a tribe determines that the minor is an Indian child as defined by the ICWA, the juvenile court is ordered to conduct a dispositional hearing in conformity with all provisions of the ICWA. If, on the other hand, no timely responses are received or the tribes determine that the minor is not an Indian child, all previous findings and orders shall be reinstated.

BUTZ, J.

We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.

Raye, J.

C043436 In re CLINTON J.; THE PEOPLE v. CLINTON J.

(Not for Publication)

The judgment (orders of the juvenile court) is affirmed.

BUTZ, J.

We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.

Hull, J.

C043909 In re STEVEN J. JR.; THE PEOPLE v. STEVEN J. JR.

(Not for Publication)

The judgment (order committing the minor to the CYA) is affirmed.

BUTZ, J.

We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.

Hull, J.

C044865 In re JESSE B. et al.; SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY v. LORIE B. (Not for Publication)

The order of the juvenile court terminating appellant's parental rights is affirmed.

SIMS, Acting P.J.

We concur: Nicholson, J.

Hull, J.

The Minutes

April 13, 2004, continued

C043317 THE PEOPLE v. POWELL

(Not for Publication)

THE COURT:

It is ordered that the opinion filed herein on March 15, 2004, be modified as follows:...

... The petition for rehearing is denied.

BY THE COURT:

DAVIS, Acting P.J. NICHOLSON, J. ROBIE, J.

C044963

In re J.H. et al.; SACRAMENTO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES v. TOM H. et al.

THE COURT:

Appellant Chrisie W.'s petition for rehearing is denied. DAVIS, Acting P.J.

April 14, 2004

C041978

THE PEOPLE v. BUTTE

(Certified for Partial Publication)

Defendant's convictions and sentences on counts 1 through 18 are reversed. The matter is remanded for resentencing on the remaining counts and for recalculation of defendant's custody credits in accordance with parts V and VI of the Discussion. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

SIMS, Acting P.J.

We concur: Nicholson, J.

Hull, J.

C043616

SINKEY v. COPART, INC.

BY THE COURT:

Appellant's petition for rehearing is denied.

BLEASE, Acting P.J.

April 15, 2004

C043016

THE PEOPLE v. BEARDSLEY

(Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.

BUTZ, J.

We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.

Hull, J.

The Minutes

April 15, 2004, continued

C045192 KUNEMAN et al. v. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

BY THE COURT:

Respondent's motion to dismiss the appeal is granted. The appeal filed October 15, 2003, is dismissed.

RAYE, Acting P.J.

C046471 TAYLOR v. (CONRAD) HREN

BY THE COURT:

The court has examined the notice of appeal and Civil Case Information Statement, and the appeal filed March 25, 2004, is dismissed as being frivolous. (See Code of Civ. Proc., section 170.3, sub.(d)("The determination of the question of disqualification of a judge is not an appealable order and may be reviewed only by a writ of mandate from the appropriate court of appeal sought within 10 days of notice to the parties of the decision and only the the parties to the proceeding.").)

RAYE, Acting P.J.

C046091 CASEY J. v. MARTINA S. and BRADLEY B. et al.

C046176 BALLARD et al. v. JOHNSON et al.

BY THE COURT:

The motion of appellants for consolidation and calendar preferrence of the above cases is granted. The cases are ordered consolidated for all further appellate procedures except that they will retain their respective case numbers. Whenever documents are submitted for filing by the parties, an original must be presented for each case number; however, if copies of documents are required pursuant to the California Rules of Court, the parties need only submit a maximum of four. The cases will be considered for decision together.

SCOTLAND, P.J.

April 16, 2004

C042553 THE PEOPLE v. RATHBURN (Not for Publication)

Defendant's conviction on count 2, for violation of Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(1), is reversed. The judgment is otherwise affirmed. The trial court shall prepare an amended abstract of judgment reflecting this disposition and shall forward a certified copy to the Department of Corrections.

SIMS, J.

We concur: Scotland, P.J.

Nicholson, J.

The Minutes

April 16, 2004, continued

C043961 THE PEOPLE v. ARENIVAS

(Not for Publication)

The conditions of probation are modified... As modified the order of probation is affirmed.

ROBIE. J.

We concur: Blease, Acting P.J.

Butz, J.

C044451

In re MICHAEL U.; SACRAMENTO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES v. JAMIE U. (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.

ROBIE, J.

We concur: Scotland, P.J.

Raye, J.

C044747

In re R.G. et al.; SACRAMENTO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES v. LINDA H. (Not for Publication)

The order terminating parental right are reversed and the matter is remanded for the limited purpose of determining whether notice of the proceedings to the Fort McDowell Mohave-Apache Community of the Fort McDowell Indian Reservation was required and if so, to provide notice in compliance with the notice provisions of the ICWA. If, after proper inquiry it appears that notice is not required, the orders shall be reinstated. If the juvenile court determines that notice is required, the court shall order the Department of Health and Human Services to comply with the notice provisions of the ICWA as to this tribe. Thereafter, if there is no response or if the tribe determines the minors are not Indian children, the orders shall be reinstated. However, if the tribe determines the minors are Indian children or if information is presented to the juvenile court that affirmatively indicates the minors are Indian chilren as defined by the ICWA and the court determines the ICWA applies to this case, the juvenile court is ordered to conduct a new section 366.26 hearing in conformance with all provisions of the ICWA.

ROBIE, J.

We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.

Butz, J.